	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/056,982	HOFFMAN, NED	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PONNOREAY PICH	2435	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _non-final_

  (1) _PONNOREAY PICH_.   (3) _____.

  (2) _Ariel Rogson #43,054_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _10 March 2009_   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ponnoreay Pich/
Examiner, Art Unit 2435

    (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examined called Mr. Rogson on 3/9/09 to explain that in doing an updated double patenting search, the examiner believed that it would be appropriate to make double patenting rejections based on two patents and a copending application found. The examiner inquired if the applicant would be willing to file terminal disclaimers to expidite issuance of an allowance. Mr. Rogson called back on 3/10/09 to request that an office action be mailed out because responding to the office action would be better for them for patent term adjustment purposes rather than filing a terminal disclaimer via a supplimental response. .